UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION No. 04-11492-REK

MARIO LACY, Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF BOSTON, WILLIAM FEENEY, KENNETH HEARNS and JUAN J. SEOANE,

Defendants.

DEFENDANT CITY OF BOSTON'S ANSWER TO THE PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT, WITH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND JURY DEMAND.

NOW COMES the City of Boston, Defendant in the aboveentitled action, and hereby answers the Complaint of the Plaintiff, as follows:

INTRODUCTION

Paragraph One of the Plaintiff's Complaint contains a preliminary statement summarizing the Plaintiff's allegations as contained within the numbered paragraphs of his Complaint, and as such does not require a response. To the extent that the Plaintiff's introduction states any claim against Defendant City of Boston, it is denied.

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

Paragraph Two of Plaintiff's Complaint contains his statement of jurisdiction, and as such does not require a response. To the extent that the Plaintiff's statement of

jurisdiction states any claim against Defendant City of Boston, it is denied.

PARTIES

- 3. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained in Paragraph Three of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegation.
- 4. Defendant City of Boston admits the allegations contained in Paragraph Four of the Complaint.
- 5. Defendant City of Boston admits the allegations contained in Paragraph Five of the Complaint.
- 6. Defendant City of Boston admits the allegations contained in Paragraph Six of the Complaint.
- 7. Defendant City of Boston, admits the allegations contained in Paragraph Seven of the Complaint.

FACTS

8. Defendant City of Boston, is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

allegation contained in Paragraph Eight of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegation.

- 9. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Nine of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 10. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Ten of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 11. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Eleven of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 12. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Twelve of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 13. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

allegations contained in Paragraph Thirteen of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.

- 14. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Fourteen of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 15. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Fifteen of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 16. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained in Paragraph Sixteen of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegation.
- 17. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained in Paragraph Seventeen of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegation.
- 18. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

allegations contained in Paragraph Eighteen of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.

- 19. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Nineteen of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 20. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Twenty of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 21. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Twenty-One of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 22. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph Twenty-Two of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegations.
- 23. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

allegation contained in Paragraph Twenty-Three of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegation.

- 24. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained in Paragraph Twenty-Four of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegation.
- 25. Defendant City of Boston is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained in Paragraph Twenty-Five of the Complaint, and therefore neither admits nor denies said allegation.
- 26. Defendant City of Boston admits the allegation contained in Paragraph Twenty-Six of the Complaint.
- 27. Defendant City of Boston admits that the police took the Plaintiff to the scene of an alleged crime, took photographs and seized evidence. Answering further, Defendant City of Boston denies that such evidence included an evidence glove, or that a crime occurred.
- 28. Defendant City of Boston denies that a glove was seized at the scene of the alleged crime.

- 29. Paragraph Twenty-Nine of the Complaint states a legal conclusion, and as such does not require an answer. To the extent that Paragraph Twenty-Nine makes a factual allegation against Defendant City of Boston, it is denied.
- 30. Paragraph Thirty of the Complaint states a legal conclusion, and as such does not require an answer. To the extent that Paragraph Thirty makes a factual allegation against Defendant City of Boston, it is denied.
- 31. Paragraph Thirty-One of the Complaint states a legal conclusion, and as such does not require an answer. To the extent that Paragraph Thirty-One makes a factual allegation against Defendant City of Boston, it is denied.
- 32. Defendant City of Boston denies the allegation contained in Paragraph Thirty-Two of the Complaint.
- 33. Defendant City of Boston does not answer Paragraph ThirtyThree of the Complaint, as it is the subject of <u>Defendants'</u>
 Motion to Strike.
- 34. Defendant City of Boston denies the allegations contained in Paragraph Thirty-Four of the Complaint.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §1983 BY DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS.

Defendant City of Boston does not answer Count I, as it is directed against other defendants.

VIOLATION OF MASSACHUSETTS CIVIL RIGHTS ACT: M.G.L. C. 12 §111 BY DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS HEARNS AND SEOANE.

Defendant City of Boston does not answer Count II, as it is directed against other defendants.

COUNT III VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §1983 BY DEFENDANT CITY OF BOSTON.

- 39. Defendant City of Boston repeats and incorporates its answers to Paragraphs One through Thirty-Eight, above.
- 40. Defendant City of Boston denies the allegation contained in Paragraph Forty of the Complaint.
- 41. Defendant City of Boston denies the allegation contained in Paragraph Forty-One of the Complaint.
- 42. Defendant City of Boston denies the allegation contained in Paragraph Forty-Two of the Complaint.

- 43. Defendant City of Boston denies the allegations contained in Paragraph Forty-Three of the Complaint.
- 44. Defendant City of Boston admits the allegations contained in Paragraph Forty-Four of the Complaint.
- 45. Defendant City of Boston admits the allegations contained in Paragraph Forty-Five of the Complaint.
- 46. Defendant City of Boston admits the allegations contained in Paragraph Forty-Six of the Complaint.
- 47. Defendant City of Boston admits that the report found that 5.9% of complaints were sustained, but denies the accuracy of the quotation contained in Paragraph Forty-Seven.
- 48. In Answer to Paragraph Forty-Eight of the Complaint,

 Defendant City of Boston denies that Department Rule

 318(D)(4), described in Paragraphs Twenty-Nine and Thirty
 One of the Complaint, is illustrated in any way by any of

 the remaining allegations of conduct contained in Paragraph

 Forty-Eight of the Complaint, none of which relate to the

 subject matter of the Rule described in Paragraphs Twenty
 Nine and Thirty-One.

49. Defendant City of Boston denies the allegations contained in Paragraph Forty-Nine of the Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

The Complaint fails to state a claim against Defendant City of Boston upon which relief may be granted.

Second Affirmative Defense

Defendant City of Boston states that there is no factual connection between any unconstitutional municipal custom, policy or practice and the alleged violation of the Plaintiff's constitutional rights.

Third Affirmative Defense

Defendant City of Boston states that at all relevant times, it acted in good faith and in accordance with the Constitution and laws of the United States and of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

Defendant City of Boston states that the Plaintiff has not been deprived of any rights secured by either the Constitution or the laws of the United States, or of Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

Defendant City of Boston states that the injury or damage alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint was neither caused nor proximately caused by the Defendant City of Boston.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

Defendant City of Boston states that it is not liable for any intentional tortious conduct by an employee pursuant to G.L. c.258 $\S10(c)$.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

Defendant City of Boston states that it is a municipality against which punitive damages may not be assessed.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

Defendant City of Boston states that the injuries and damages alleged were caused by the Plaintiffs' own intentional conduct and not the conduct of the Defendant, City of Boston.

Ninth Affirmative Defense

Defendant City of Boston states that the Plaintiff, by his own acts, omissions, conduct and activities is estopped from asserting any claim against the City of Boston.

JURY CLAIM

Defendant, City of Boston, demands a trial by jury on all claims.

Respectfully submitted
DEFENDANT, CITY OF BOSTON
Merita A. Hopkins
Corporation Counsel

By its attorneys:

/s/ James M. Chernetsky

James M. Chernetsky, Esq. BBO# 638152 Karen A. Glasgow, Esq. BBO# 648688 Assistant Corporation Counsel City of Boston Law Department Room 615, City Hall Boston, MA 02201 (617) 635-4034